Specialist Education Accreditation Committee

Royal Australasian College of Surgeons 2013 Progress Report - Review

Progress Reports Working Party Meeting: 8 October 2013 Specialist Education Accreditation Committee Meeting: 28 October 2013

Royal Australasian College of Surgeons Date of last AMC assessment: 2011 Periodic reports since last AMC assessment: 2012 Re-accreditation due: 2017

Explanation of ratings:

Unsatisfactory

The College may not meet the related accreditation standard and AMC should investigate further.

Not Progressing

Standard 1: Context in which the education and training program is delivered Standards cover: structure and governance of the college; program management; educational

Accreditation Recommendations - Standard 1

Recommendation 2

Report to the AMC on the schedule of planned changes in its educational programs and the proposed time of implementation. Please include an update on changes to the assessment of generic and specialty specific basic sciences, and potential changes to the Fellowship examination.

Rating Unsatisfactory

Significant developments

Commentary

Commentary

There are differing approaches by subspecialty, and they are within the spirit of this recommendation.

Standard 5: Assessment

Standards cover: assessment approach; feedback and performance; assessment quality; assessment of specialists trained overseas

Summary of accreditation status

In 2012, this set of standards was found to be Met. It continues to be met. Overall, satisfactory progress is demonstrated in this area.

Accreditation Recommendations Standard 5

Recommendation 16

Research thoroughly the strengths, weaknesses, practicalities and generalisability of the Mini-Clinical Evaluation Exercise and Direct Observation of Procedural Skills as assessment tools in the local hospital setting and make public its findings.

The AMC notes that since the 2007 assessment, considerable literature has been written on

Statistics and annual updates

Commentary – statistics and annual updates

Pass rates in the generic SSE and the cumulative pass rate in the Fellowship exam are good, but performance in specialty specific SSEs is more variable, and the pass rate in individual FEx sittings is also variable. The College has recognised this, and is taking steps to address.

Standard 6: Monitoring and evaluation

Standards cover: program monitoring and outcome evaluation

Summary of accreditation status

AMC progress report requires reporting on changes in the selection process.

•

Recommendation 25				
Continue to collaborate with the jurisdictions to increase the output of well-trained surgeons.				
Rating	Unsatisfactory	Not progressing	Progressing	Satisfied and closed
AMC		Х		

Standard 8: Implementing the training program – delivery of educational resources

Standards cover: supervisors, assessors, trainers and mentors and clinical and other educational resources

Summary of accreditation status

In 2012, this set of standards was found to be Met. It continues to be met.

Accreditation Recommendations - Standard 8

Recommendation 27

Report in annual reports to the AMC on:

So t op1.6f11.042[/1torcassu1x.6f1 /MCI(t)-7(or)-o6(c)94 15.72 re W wasentors1ec 0.018 Twscn 70.56 D 1.0

Standard 9: Continuing professional development

Standards cover: continuing professional development; retraining and remediation of underperforming fellows

Summary of accreditation status

In 2012, this set of standards was found to be Met. It continues to be met.

Significant Developments

Commentary – significant developments

The